RESEARCH

AIDS Research and Therapy

Open Access

Understanding health outcome drivers among adherence club patients in clinics of Gauteng, South Africa: a structural equation modelling (SEM) approach

Ndumiso Tshuma^{1,2*}, Elakpa Daniel Ngbede³, Tawanda Nyengerai^{1,3}, Oliver Mtapuri^{1,4}, Sangiwe Moyo^{1,6}, David D. Mphuthi^{1,7} and Peter Nyasulu⁵

Abstract

Background There has been growing interest in understanding the drivers of health outcomes, both in developed and developing countries. The drivers of health outcomes, on the other hand, are the factors that influence the likelihood of experiencing positive or negative health outcomes. Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) continues to be a significant global public health challenge, with an estimated 38 million people living with the aim of this study was therefore to develop and empirically test a conceptual research model using SEM, aimed at explaining the magnitude of various factors influencing HIV and other health outcomes among patients attending Adherence Clubs.

Method This was a cross sectional survey study design conducted in 16 health facilities in the City of Ekurhuleni in Gauteng Province, South Africa. A total of 730 adherence club patients were systematically sampled to participate in a closed ended questionnaire survey. The questionnaire was assessed by Cronbach's alpha coefficient for internal consistency. The proposed model was tested using structural equation modelling (AMOS software: ADC, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results A total of 730 adherence club members participated in the study. Of these, 425 (58.2%) were female and 305 (41.8%) were male. The overall results indicated a good reliability of all the scale involved in this study as Cronbach alphas ranged from 0.706 to 0.874, and composite reliability from 0.735 to 0.874. The structural model showed that the constructs health seeking behavior (β =0.267, p=0.000), health care services (β =0.416, p=0.000), stigma and discrimination (β =0.135, p=0.022) significantly predicted health outcomes and explained 45% of its variance. The construct healthcare service was the highest predictor of health outcomes among patients in adherence clubs.

Conclusion Patient health seeking behaviour, healthcare services, stigma and discrimination were associated with perceived health outcomes. Since adherence clubs have been found to have a significant impact in improving patient outcomes and quality of life, there is a need to ensure replication of this model.

Keywords Health outcomes, Structural equation modeling, HIV outcomes

*Correspondence: Ndumiso Tshuma ndumiso@besthealthsolutions.co.za Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Introduction

HIV remains a significant global health challenge, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, where the burden of the disease is most pronounced [1]. Despite substantial progress in expanding access to antiretroviral therapy (ART), ensuring optimal adherence to treatment remains a critical aspect of managing the epidemic effectively. Adherence clubs have emerged as a novel and innovative approach to promote adherence and retention in care among people living with HIV (PLHIV) in resourceconstrained settings. This approach leverage the benefits of community support and streamlined ART services to enhance treatment outcomes [2].

Adherence clubs, also known as Community ART Groups (CAGs) [3], are peer support groups that provide mutual psychosocial support and distribute ART medications within the community. These clubs have been implemented in various settings, including South Africa [4-6]], Mozambique [3], and Malawi [7]. Studies evaluating the impact of adherence clubs have reported promising results. For instance, a cluster-randomized controlled trial in South Africa conducted by Cassidy and colleagues demonstrated that extending ART refills in adherence clubs led to improved treatment adherence and retention rates at the 24-month follow-up [5]. Similarly, another study conducted in Maputo, Mozambique, highlighted positive outcomes among patients on second- and thirdline ART enrolled in adherence clubs [3]. While adherence clubs have shown potential in reducing healthcare system costs [8], concerns have been raised regarding stigma, lack of familial or peer support, and common mental health disorders as barriers to sustained adherence [9, 10].

As an essential component of HIV treatment programs, adherence clubs require ongoing evaluation and optimization. Understanding the factors influencing their effectiveness and exploring alternative mechanisms for medication delivery [11] are critical in advancing differentiated HIV service delivery models [7]. In this study, we will use structural equation modelling (SEM) to explore the factors associated with HIV outcomes including the impact of HIV treatment, comorbidities, social determinants of health, and behavioural factors. We will also discuss the implications of these factors for HIV care and treatment and for the management of comorbidities. By identifying the drivers of HIV outcomes and other health outcomes, we hope to contribute to efforts aimed at improving the health and well-being of people living with HIV.

Recently, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) has emerged as a popular statistical technique for analyzing complex relationships among multiple variables in various fields, including public health [12]. This technique allows researchers to examine causal pathways between variables, which can help identify factors with the highest magnitude that contribute to health outcomes [13]. SEM techniques have been increasingly used in health research in South Africa. The aim of this study was therefore to develop and empirically test a conceptual research model aimed at explaining how individual, social, economic and health services factors are influencing HIV and other health outcomes among patients attending Adherence Clubs. Figure 1 is the proposed conceptual model in this study.



Fig. 1 Conceptual Model of factors influencing health outcomes (Source: Proposed model by authors)

Methods

Study design

In this cross-sectional study, the clinics where Adherence Clubs were implemented by a community organisation served as the primary sampling unit. The sample size was determined based on the numbers of patients that were remaining in care in the month of April 2015, then proportions proportionate to the numbers of people remaining in care per facility were used to calculate site specific sample sizes. A total of 730 adherence club patients were systematically sampled to participate in the survey. Systematic sampling was done through the selection of every second person from those listed in the Adherence Club Register for the month of February 2016, starting from the fourth patient. Selection continued until the dailyallocated sample size per site was reached.

Study setting

This study was conducted in 16 health facilities based in the City of Ekurhuleni. In the Gauteng Province, there was an estimated population size of 13 200 349 and an HIV prevalence of 6.19 million [14]with a land area of 16 548 km2. In the City of Ekurhuleni, the HIV positive prevalence was at 292 040 in 2011 [15].

Data collection and measures

Data were collected using a closed ended questionnaire with Likert scales of 1 to 5 ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree respectively. Facility-based adherence club facilitator assistants on a part-time basis, collected all data over the month of February 2016. The variables collected were categorized into socio-demographic characteristics which included participant's marital status (single, married, divorced, cohabitating, widowed), ethnicity (black, non-black), type of dwelling (formal housing, informal housing), employment status (unemployed, employed), nationality (non-South African, South African), age (20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, \geq 60 years) and highest education (below high school, high school, and post high school qualifications, including certificate, diploma, and degrees). In addition, health seeking behaviour, patient satisfaction, treatment literacy, financial status, healthcare services, social support, stigma and discrimination and health outcomes were collected using closed-ended questions. Figure 2 shows the relationships that these constructs were meant to test and the Table 1 outlines how each of these variables were measured.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v 22 for descriptive analysis while Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) analysis was performed using the AMOS 22 package. A correlation matrix was generated using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (PPMCC) [Pearson's r"] to determine the correlation coefficient of each variable. A presence of variables with very strong relationships (R>0.80) resulted in singular covariance matrices. Many reasons motivated the choice of the SEM technique. Contrary to first generation statistical tools such as regression, SEM enabled researchers to answer a set of interrelated research questions in a single, systematic, and comprehensive way. This was done by modelling the relationships among multiple independent and dependent constructs simultaneously [16–19].

Factor analysis was also combined in one operation with hypotheses testing. This resulted in a more rigorous



Fig. 2 Conceptual model of the hypothesized study relationships

 Table 1
 Items in constructs within the questionnaire

Health SBHealth seeking behaviourHSB1Clubs taught me to eat healthyHSB2Clubs have made me exercise regularlyHSB3Clubs have made me practise safe sexHSB4Clubs made me understand the value of adhering to treatmentSatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club.
HSB1Clubs taught me to eat healthyHSB2Clubs have made me exercise regularlyHSB3Clubs have made me practise safe sexHSB4Clubs made me understand the value of adhering to treatmentSatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club.
HSB2Clubs have made me exercise regularlyHSB3Clubs have made me practise safe sexHSB4Clubs made me understand the value of adhering to treatmentSatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club
HSB3Clubs have made me practise safe sexHSB4Clubs made me understand the value of adhering to treatmentSatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club.
HSB4Clubs made me understand the value of adhering to treatmentSatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club.
SatisPatient satisfactionPS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club.
PS1Healthcare workers treat me with respect and dignity in ClubsPS2Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Clubs
PS2 Healthcare workers provide all the health information I need during Club
PS3 Clubs are done in clean areas
PS4 Clubs are done in tidy rooms
PS5 Clubs reduce the time I spend in the clinic
PS6 Clubs always start on time
PS7 Clubs are done in a safe area
PS8 Clubs are accessible to the disabled
PS9 My medication is always available
PS10 Healthcare workers discuss with me about my medication during Clubs
Treal Treatment literacy
Treat1 Clubs encourage me to disclose my status
Treat2 Clubs make me confident on how to take my medication
Treat3 Clubs have made it possible for me to confidently share information
Treat4 Clubs have helped me understand medication side effects
Treat5 Clubs helped me understand my illness
Fista
Fista1 Clubs have improved my ability to work
Fista? Clubs have improved my choice of employment types
Fista3 Clubs have increased the hours I am at work
Fista4 Clubs have reduced my number of clinic visits
Healthcare services
HC1 Clubs improve access to specialised care
HC2 Clubs make it easy to have CD 4 count taken
HC3 Clubs make it easy to attend clinic visits
HC4 Clubs increase access to treatment
FamS Social support
ES1 My family now encourages me to attend Clubs
FS2 My family encourages me to adhere to medication
ES3 My family ensures that I have taken my medication
ES4 My family accompanies me to the club meetings
ESS Clubs encourage couple coupled and testing
StiD Stigma and discrimination
SD1 During Clubs there is no discrimination
SD2 Clubs make chronic diseases accentable in communities
SD3 Clubs make enrolled used acceptable in communities
SD4 Lam free to discuss my health status in the club
SD5 Healthcare workers listen attentively to my needs
SD6 Healthcare workers provide me with the services Loood
SD7 Health workers do no disclose my health status
SD8 I no longer feel schamed of my health status
Hout Health Outcomes
HO1 Attending Clubs has improved my ability to manage side effects

Table 1 (continued)

Items	
HO2	Clubs have reduced my stress levels
HO3	Clubs have improved my health

analysis of the proposed research model and is a better methodological assessment tool [20]. A confirmatory factor analysis was conducted through a measurement model (Fig. 3) to determine the underlining components of the various factors involved in the proposed model (Fig. 1). The next step of the SEM consisted of designing and testing the structural model (Fig. 4). Evaluation of both the measurement and structural models also involved the use of fit indices.

The chi square statistic provided a test of the null hypothesis, ensuring that the theoretical model fits the data. Indices used to indicate the model good fit, were the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), adjusted goodness-of-fit index (AGFI) and comparative fit index (CFI). A CFI value close to 0.9 and RMSEA value close to 0.07 indicated acceptable fit of the model. AMOS also allowed the use of modification indices to improve the model fit chi-square by drawing a correlation function between the identified variables. All the hypothesized paths in the conceptual model (Fig. 1) were tested and included in the structural model. The correlation coefficients(r) appearing on the measurement model and Cronbach's alpha coefficients of the constructs were generated by SPSS v 22.



Fig. 3 Measurement model



Fig. 4 Structural model. Blue arrows- Statistically significant predictors. Red arrows-not statistically significant predictor

Cronbach's alpha measures the internal consistency of the construct and its cut-off value is 0.7 although 0.6 is sometimes permissible [21–24]. As recommended by Hair et al., [17, 25], convergent validity was assessed using factor loading (standardized estimates) which was expected to be above 0.5, Average Variance Extracted (AVE) expected to be above 0.5, and Composite Reliability (C.R) above 0.7, though 0.6 is sometimes permissible [26].

Ethical clearance

The ethics approval for this study was obtained from Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee, approval no: CF14/2803 – 2014001558. Verbal informed consent was obtained at the time of data collection and data remained anonymous.

Results

Descriptive statistics

A total of 730 adherence club members participated in the study. Demographic characteristics of adherence club participants were assessed against perceived impact of clubs in improving health outcomes of the patients. Among participants who agreed that clubs have improved their health, 97.8% were blacks as compared to 1.5% who were coloureds. In addition, results showed that employment status was a significant factor in health outcome (p=0.004). Overall, 55.9% of individuals who agreed that clubs improved their health were unemployed as compared to 44.1% who were employed (Table 2). Furthermore, the study showed that there was a significant difference (p=0.002) across age groups regarding whether clubs improved their health. Overall, 44.3% of individuals between the ages of 30 to 39 years old agreed

 Table 2
 Demographics associated with clubs improving patient health

Socio-demographic characteristics	Clubs imp health	roved my	Total (%)	p-value
	No (%)	Yes (%)		
Ethnicity				
Asian	0 (0)	3 (4)	3 (4)	0.197
Black	54 (94.7)	658 (97.8)	712 (97.5)	
Coloured	3 (5.3)	10 (1.5)	13 (1.8)	
White	0 (0)	2 (3)	2 (3)	
Gender				
Female	26 (45.6)	399 (59.3)	425 (58.2)	0.44
Male	31 (54.4)	274 (40.7)	305 (41.8)	
Type of dwelling				
Formal	54 (94.7)	449 (66.7)	503 (68.9)	< 0.001
Informal	3 (5.3)	224 (33.3)	227 (31.1)	
Employment status				
Employed	14 (24.6)	297 (44.1)	311 (42.6)	0.004
Unemployed	43 (75.4)	376 (55.9)	419 (57.4)	
Nationality				
Non-South African	0 (0.00)	63 (9.4)	63 (8.6)	0.016
South Africa	57 (100.0)	610 (90.6)	667 (91.4)	
Age				
20–29	15 (26.3)	75 (11.1)	90 (12.3)	0.002
30–39	18 (31.6)	298 (44.3)	316 (43.3)	
40–49	16 (28.1)	234 (34.8)	250 (34.2)	
50–59	5 (8.8)	57 (8.5)	62 (8.5)	
60–69	3 (5.3)	9 (1.3)	12 (1.6)	
Marital status				
Cohabitating	3 (5.3)	89 (13.2)	92 (12.6)	0.001
Divorced	5 (8.8)	14 (2.1)	19 (2.6)	
Married	8 (14.0)	155 (23.0)	163 (22.3)	
Single	37 (64.9)	399 (59.3)	436 (59.7)	
Widowed	4 (7.0)	16 (2.4)	20 (2.7)	
Highest education				
Below matric	32 (56.1)	329 (48.9)	361 (49.5)	0.487
Certificate	5 (8.8)	68 (10.1)	73 (10.0)	
Degree	1 (1.8)	3 (1.4)	4 (5)	
Diploma	2 (3.5)	17 (2.5)	19 (2.6)	
Matric	17 (29.8)	256 (38.0)	273 (37.4)	
Number of people in h	ousehold			
1.0	7 (12.3)	53 (7.9)	60 (8.2)	0.477
2.0	8 (14.0)	89 (13.2)	97 (13.3)	
3.0	13 (22.8)	161 (23.9)	174 (23.8)	
4.0	9 (15.8)	148 (22.0)	157 (21.5)	
5.0	12 (21.1)	95 (14.1)	107 (14.7)	
6.0	4 (7.0)	66 (9.8)	70 (9.6)	
7.0	4 (7.0)	31 (4.6)	35 (4.8)	
8.0	0 (0.0)	22 (3.3)	22 (3.0)	
9.0	0 (0.0)	8 (1.2)	8 (1.1)	

that clubs improved their health. Within the group who believed that clubs did not improve their health, 64.9% were single as compared to 14% who were married (p=0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in terms of education (p=0.487) and household size (p=0.477), but in terms of nationality significantly more South Africans reporting that club attendance improved their health as compared to non-South Africans.

Reliability analysis, convergent and discriminant validity assessment

The overall result indicated a good reliability of all the scale involved in this study as Cronbach alphas and composite reliability coefficients were both above 0.7 (Table 3). Composite Reliability ranged from 0.735 to 0.874 that largely meets the recommended criterion of 0.6. Stigma and discrimination had a small discriminant validity concern: however, we used it in the model because the reliability and the convergent validity are good. The rest of the constructs report good reliability, good convergent validity, and good discriminant validity.

Measurement model

The measurement model indicated the overall good factor loading of the items in the seven constructs measuring health seeking behaviour, patient satisfaction, treatment literacy, financial status, healthcare services, social support, stigma and discrimination and health outcomes (Table 4). They were all above the recommended threshold of 0.5, they ranged from 0.63 to 0.94. Therefore, the 25 items (indicators) and 8 latent constructs were drawn into AMOS Graphics.

Table 5 provides a list of the keys for variable names used in the measurement model. The Chi-square of the measurement model was 871.808 (p-value=0.000) at 243 degrees of freedom (Fig. 3). The overall measurement model indicates an acceptable model fit with GFI (0.911), AGFI (0.880), TLI (0.910), RMSEA (0.060) and CFI (0.927). The constructs involved in the model were interrelated and the items used to measure these constructs were appropriate. After assessing the validity of the measurement tools, the next session explored the relationships hypothesized by the proposed conceptual model.

Structural model

The structural model was useful to test the hypotheses formulated in the proposed model. The regression coefficients (single arrows) as well as the correlation coefficients (doubled arrows) are as shown in Fig. 4. The structural model showed the dependence interrelationships between constructs. Replacing the correlation relationship between constructs with path estimates did

	Cronbach Alpha	Composite Reliability	Average Varied Extracted	Health Seeking Behavior	Health outcomes	Patient Satisfaction	Healthcare service	Treatment literacy	Stigma and Discrimination	Financial status	Social status
Health Seeking Behavior	0.760	0.761	0.515	0.717							
Health outcomes	0.833	0.833	0.626	0.537	0.791						
Patient Satisfaction	0.706	0.784	0.554	0.297	0.336	0.744					
Healthcare service	0.819	0.820	0.533	0.462	0.647	0.323	0.730				
Treatment literacy	0.786	0.787	0.551	0.396	0.498	0.443	0.546	0.743			
Stigma and Discrimina- tion	0.739	0.735	0.480	0.412	0.515	0.680	0.499	0.732	0.693		
Financial status	0.819	0.819	0.603	0.185	0.341	0.361	0.320	0.570	0.606	0.777	
Social staus	0.874	0.874	0.701	0.223	0.326	0.266	0.286	0.418	0.614	0.441	0.837

assessment
validity
Seliability and
Table 3 F

Table 4 Factor loading obtained from the CFA

Items		Factor loading
HealthSB	Health seeking behaviour	
HSB1	Clubs taught me to eat healthily	0.72
HSB2	Clubs have made me to exercise regularly	0.73
HSB3	Clubs have made me to practise safe sex	0.70
Satis	Patient satisfaction	
PS8	Clubs are accessible to the disabled	0.63
PS9	My medication is always available	0.67
PS10	Healthcare workers discuss with me about my medication during Clubs	0.92
Treal	Treatment literacy	
Treat1	Clubs encourage me to disclose my status	0.69
Treat2	Clubs make me confident on how to take my medication	0.76
Treat3	Clubs have made it possible for me to confidently share information	0.70
Fista	Financial status	
Fstat1	Clubs have improved my ability to work	0.82
Fstat2	Clubs have improved my choice of employment types	0.66
Fstat3	Clubs have increased the hours I am at work	0.83
Hcare	Healthcare services	
HC1	Clubs improve access to specialised care	0.69
HC2	Clubs make it easy to have CD 4 count taken	0.71
HC3	Clubs make it easy to attend clinic visits	0.63
HC4	Clubs increase access to treatment	0.64
FamS	Social support	
FS1	My family now encourages me to attend Clubs	0.84
FS2	My family encourages me to adhere to medication	0.94
FS3	My family ensures that I have taken my medication	0.71
StiD	Stigma and discrimination	
SD2	Clubs make chronic diseases acceptable in communities	0.62
SD5	Healthcare workers listen attentively to my needs	0.87
SD6	Healthcare workers provide me with the services I need	0.82
Hout	Health Outcomes	
HO1	Attending Clubs has improved my ability to manage side effects	0.78
HO2	Clubs have reduced my stress levels	0.81
НОЗ	Clubs have improved my health	0.79

the transition from the measurement model to the structural model. The model was drawn and tested in AMOS 22. The Chi-square of the structural model was 1105.181 (value p=0.000) at 252 degrees of freedom. The following indices GFI (0.890); CMIN/DF (4.386); AGFI (0.858); RMSEA (0.068); TLI (0.882); and CFI (0.901) indicated a good model fit.

The structural model showed that the constructs health seeking behavior (β =0.267, p=0.000), health care services (β =0.416, p=0.000), stigma and discrimination (β =0.135, p=0.022) significantly predicted health outcomes and explained 45% of its variance (Table 6). The construct healthcare service was the highest predictor of health outcomes among patients in adherence clubs.

However, the regression paths between social support (β =0.060, p=0.167), patient satisfaction (β =0.045, p=0.263), treatment literacy (β =0.071, p=0.236) and financial status (β =0.041, *p*=0.404) and health outcomes were not statistically significant.

Discussion

This study sought to establish the factors that influence perceived health outcomes among adherence club patients in Ekurhuleni district, South Africa. The objectives were to provide an overview of how individual, social, economic and health services factors are generally impacting on health outcomes. The second objective was to develop and empirically test a conceptual

Table 5 Items in the measurement model

Items	
HealthSB	Health seeking behaviour
HSB1	Clubs taught me to eat healthly
HSB2	Clubs have made me to exercise regularly
HSB3	Clubs have made me to practise safe sex
Satis	Patient satisfaction
PS8	Clubs are accessible to the disabled
PS9	My medication is always available
PS10	Healthcare workers discuss with me about my medication during Clubs
Treal	Treatment literacy
Treat1	Clubs encourage me to disclose my status
Treat2	Clubs make me confident on how to take my medication
Treat3	Clubs have made it possible for me to confidently share information
Fista	Financial status
Fstat1	Clubs have improved my ability to work
Fstat2	Clubs have improved my choice of employment types
Fstat3	Clubs have increased the hours I am at work
Hcare	Healthcare services
HC1	Clubs improve access to specialised care
HC2	Clubs make it easy to have CD 4 count taken
HC3	Clubs make it easy to attend clinic visits
HC4	Clubs increase access to treatment
FamS	Social support
FS1	My family now encourages me to attend Clubs
FS2	My family encourages me to adhere to medication
FS3	My family ensures that I have taken my medication
StiD	Stigma and discrimination
SD2	Clubs make chronic diseases acceptable in communities
SD5	Healthcare workers listen attentively to my needs
SD6	Healthcare workers provide me with the services I need
Hout	Health Outcomes
HO1	Attending Clubs has improved my ability to manage side effects
HO2	Clubs have reduced my stress levels
HO3	Clubs have improved my health

research model aimed at explaining how individual, social, economic and health services factors are influencing health outcomes.

Table 6 Regression weights and conclusion

Regression Path		Estimates	P value	
Hout	←	Social Status (FamS)	0.060	0.167
Hout	←	Health Seeking Behaviour (HealthSB)	0.267	0.000
Hout	←	Patient Satisfaction (Satis)	0.045	0.263
Hout	←	Healthcare service (Hcare)	0.416	0.000
Hout	←	Stigma and Discrimination (StigD)	0.135	0.022
Hout	←	Treatment Literacy (Treal)	0.071	0.236
Hout	←	Financial Status (Fista)	0.041	0.404

Individual factors influencing health outcome

Results showed a positive relationship between health seeking behaviour and health outcomes. This implies that an increase in health seeking behaviour will result in an increase in health outcomes. This relationship is in line with findings from various scholars that have indicated the relationship between health seeking behavior and health outcomes [27-29]. This could have been because adherence club members that are actively adopting good health behaviours, are more prone to adherence to treatment and this translates to improvement in health outcomes.

In contrary, there was no significant relationship between patient satisfaction and health outcomes. This

implies that any improvement in patient satisfaction will not affect health outcomes. However, findings from previous studies have substantiated a close relationship between patient satisfaction and health outcomes [30-32]. In addition, it was also determined that a satisfied patient is more likely to develop trust with their medical provider thus resulting in improved continuity, compliance, and better health outcomes [33]. However, one of the drivers of such variations could be the measurement scales used and the nature of questions asked in various studies to measure the construct of patient satisfaction. The scale used to measure patient satisfaction (Cronbach alpha, 0.706) had a lower Cronbach alpha compared to other constructs in the model. Though the scale has an acceptable reliability, a better scale might be constructed to help measure satisfaction. It has also been reported that there was a problem with measurement of patient satisfaction due to the absence of a standardized psychometric test and data collection approach [34, 35]. There is a call for attention when constructing a patient satisfaction survey as certain words may be perceived as negative thus decreasing the reliability of the results [36]. In addition, the questionnaire needs to be adjusted to different settings due to cultural, education differences and language [35, 37]. The instrument should additionally consider the traditional values attributed to advocacy, patient education, confidentiality and continuity in order to understand overall patient satisfaction [34].

Similarly, findings from the structural model showed no relationship between treatment literacy and health outcomes. Meaning any improvement of treatment literacy will not affect health outcomes. This is in contrast with previous studies which demonstrated that when a patient has a language, literacy or comprehension issue they have a problem either understanding the prescription or the importance of adhering to the instructions completely and this will have a bearing on adherence and health outcomes [38–41].

Economic factors influencing health outcomes.

In this study, we measured financial status using items that relate to work status as a proxy indicator for financial status. There was no statistically significant relationship between work status and health outcomes. This also implies that any improvement of financial status will not affect health outcomes. In other settings, studies have shown that a low socioeconomic status has greatly affected a patient's ability to consistently adhere to treatment which is common in developing settings [42–46]. Differences with findings from previous studies could be due to the fact that the sample in this study targeted people from almost a similar socio-economic status and

some of whom were unemployed individuals with matric and below matric qualification.

Social factors influencing health outcomes

As portrayed in the proposed conceptual model, social support, stigma, and discrimination were the sub-components of social factors. Findings from the structural model in this study showed a positive and significant relationship between reduction in stigma and improved health outcomes. This phenomenon is in line with other studies that have indicated that stigma is a potential inhibitor for adhering to treatment as it makes patients more reluctant to attend treatment in their neighbourhoods thus leading to the non-disclosure of their illness [47]. As a result of the stigma associated with HIV, people are often discriminated, receiving unfair and unjust treatment based not on personal merits or views but based on the categorization in the minds of people and society often influenced the lack of knowledge of the disease [48]. In contrary, there was no significant relationship between social support and health outcomes. Meaning any improvement of social support will not affect health outcomes. However, previous studies have indicated a positive link between family support and patient ART adherence [49]. It was highlighted that family support improves patient self-esteem, reduces depression, and encourages optimism [49]. Higher social support has also been associated with reduced risk of poor perceived mental health, physical health, anxiety, depression, and suicide attempts amongst individuals who have experienced sexual, mental, and physical abuse [50]. Moreover, family strain has been linked to health problems, depression, and anxiety [51].

Limitations

Recall bias is one of the potential limitations associated with self reports. Accuracy is affected since it decreases when there is a longer recall period [52]. The findings from this study could also be subjected to social desirability bias and they were more likely to report positively on the impact of the adherence clubs as they wouldn't want it to be discontinued largely because it reduces waiting time in the health facility. This study was based on a cross sectional survey done at a single point in time, and this implies limitations for the possibility of varied findings. Self-reported information in public venues could lead to over reporting or under reporting of socially desirable and unacceptable behaviours respectively [53, 54]. Although self-reporting of individual perceptions provides an imperfect estimate of health behaviours, it is still the most common method of health behaviour measurement.

From this study, our structural equation model showed that patient health seeking behaviour, stigma and healthcare services were associated with perceived health outcomes. Interestingly, the proxy indicators of patient satisfaction, treatment literacy and financial status were not associated with perceived health outcomes. In terms of external factors, financial status and social support was not associated with health outcomes amongst patients in HIV adherence clubs. Since adherence clubs have been found to have a significant impact in improving patient outcomes and quality of life there is a need to ensure replication of this model.

Conclusion

Overall, this study highlights the potential of SEM techniques for evaluating complex health interventions and identifying the factors that contribute to their success. The findings of this study will contribute to a better understanding of the factors that drive health outcomes in the context of Adherence Clubs interventions. This knowledge can be used to improve the design and implementation of future interventions in this field, as well as have important implications for policy and practice in the South African context of HIV care and treatment, which remains a major public health challenge Patient health seeking behaviour, healthcare services, stigma and discrimination were found to be associated with perceived health outcomes. Since adherence clubs have been found to have a significant impact in improving patient outcomes and quality of life, there is a need to ensure replication of this model and these factors be taken into proper considerations during the planning and implementation of new health programs targeting patients in certain populations.

Acknowledgements

The Independent Development Trust covered the funding for this study for field staff. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: NT. Data analysis: NT, OM, EDN, TN. Methodology: NT, EDN, TN, OM, PN, SM, DDM. Literature review: EDN, NT, OM, TN. Writing—Original Draft: EDN, NT, DDM, OM, TN, Writing—Review & Editing: NT, SM, PN, TN, EDN, DDM. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We wish to acknowledge the generous support that made this study possible. The data collection was funded by Community AIDS Response as part of a broader project titled: 'Integrated HIV and AIDS Prevention and Care Project in Johannesburg.'Their contribution has been instrumental in enabling the execution and completion of our research. We extend our sincere gratitude to Community AIDS Response for their invaluable support in advancing our understanding of this critical area.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹The Best Health Solutions, Johannesburg, Gauteng, South Africa. ²Texila American University and University of Central Nicaragua, Managua, Nicaragua. ³School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, Gauteng, South Africa. ⁴University of KwaZulu Natal, Durban, South Africa. ⁵Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Department of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. ⁶Final Mile, Johannesburg, Gauteng, South Africa. ⁷Department of Health Studies, College of Human Sciences, University of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa.

Received: 24 April 2023 Accepted: 1 September 2023 Published online: 05 October 2023

References

- 1. Bekker LG, Alleyne G, Baral S, Cepeda J, Daskalakis D, Dowdy D, et al. Advancing global health and strengthening the HIV response in the era of the Sustainable Development Goals: the International AIDS Society— Lancet Commission. Lancet. 2018;392(10144):312–58.
- Fox MP, Pascoe S, Huber AN, Murphy J, Phokojoe M, Gorgens M, et al. Short-term outcomes from a cluster randomized evaluation of adherence clubs as part of differentiated HIV Care in South Africa. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2021;1:1.
- Finci I, Flores A, Gutierrez Zamudio AG, Matsinhe A, de Abreu E, Issufo S, et al. Outcomes of patients on second- and third-line ART enrolled in ART adherence clubs in Maputo Mozambique. Trop Med Int Health. 2020;25(12):1496–502.
- Tshuma N, Mosikare O, Yun JA, Alaba OA, Maheedhariah MS, Muloongo K, et al. Acceptability of community-based adherence clubs among health facility staff in South Africa: a qualitative study. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2017;11:1523–31.
- Cassidy T, Grimsrud A, Keene C, Lebelo K, Hayes H, Orrell C, et al. Twentyfour-month outcomes from a cluster-randomized controlled trial of extending antiretroviral therapy refills in ART adherence clubs. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23:12.
- Keene CM, Zokufa N, Venables EC, Wilkinson L, Hoffman R, Cassidy T, et al. "Only twice a year": a qualitative exploration of 6-month antiretroviral treatment refills in adherence clubs for people living with HIV in Khayelitsha, South Africa. BMJ Open. 2020;10(7): e037545.
- Huber A, Pascoe S, Nichols B, Long L, Kuchukhidze S, Phiri B, et al. Differentiated service delivery models for HIV treatment in Malawi, South Africa, and Zambia: a landscape analysis. Glob Health Sci Pract. 2021;9(2):296–307.
- Larson BA, Pascoe SJ, Huber A, Long LC, Murphy J, Miot J, et al. Will differentiated care for stable HIV patients reduce healthcare systems costs? J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23(7): e25541.
- Shigayeva A, Gcwensa N, Ndlovu CD, Ntumase N, Sabela S, Ohler L, et al. Retention on ART and viral suppression among patients in alternative models of differentiated HIV service delivery in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. PLOS Global Public Health. 2022;2(12): e0000336.
- Velloza J, Khoza N, Scorgie F, Chitukuta M, Mutero P, Mutiti K, et al. The influence of HIV-related stigma on PrEP disclosure and adherence among adolescent girls and young women in HPTN 082: a qualitative study. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23(3): e25463.
- Mash R, Christian C, Chigwanda RV. Alternative mechanisms for delivery of medication in South Africa: A scoping review. S Afr Fam Pract. 2021;63(1):e1-8.
- Brown TA. Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research Methodology in the Social Sciences [Internet]. 2015. www.guilford.com/MSS
- Kline RB (2015). P and practice of structural equation modeling (4th ed.). NYNGPress. Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling. 2015. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/361910413

- Statistics South Africa. Statistical release Mid-year population estimates 2015. https://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P0302/P03022015.pdf. Accessed 30 Mar 2023.
- Massyn N, Gaurang T, Candy D, Noluthando N. Distric Health Barometer-District Health Profiles 20172018. Health Systems Trust. 2018.
- Hooper D, Coughlan J, Mullen M. Structural Equation Modelling: Guidelines for Determining Model Fit. www.ejbrm.com
- Hair J, Alamer A. Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example. Res Methods Appl Ling. 2022;1(3): 100027.
- Anderson CJ, Gerbing WD. Structural Equation Modeling in Practice: A Review and Recommended Two-Step Approach. Vol. 103. Psychological Association, Inc; 1988 [cited 2023 Mar 30]. https://www3.nd.edu/~kyuan/ courses/sem/readpapers/ANDERSON.pdf
- Cheah WL, Wan-Abdul-Manan WM, Zabidi-Hussin Z. A structural equation model of the determinants of malnutrition among children in rural Kelantan Malaysia. Rural Remote Health. 2010;10(1):38–50. https://doi.org/10. 3316/informit.326580105868923.
- Byrne BM. Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS. Third: Routledge; 2016.
- Bonett DG, Wright TA. Cronbach's alpha reliability: Interval estimation, hypothesis testing, and sample size planning. J Organ Behav. 2015;36(1):3–15.
- Christmann A, Van Aelst S. Robust estimation of Cronbach's alpha. J Multivar Anal. 2006;97(7):1660–74.
- Shelby LB. Beyond Cronbach's alpha: considering confirmatory factor analysis and segmentation. Hum Dimens Wildl. 2011;16(2):142–8.
- Vaske JJ, Beaman J, Sponarski CC. Rethinking internal consistency in Cronbach's alpha. Leis Sci. 2017;39(2):163–73.
- Hair J, Sarstedt M, Hopkins L, Kuppelwieser V. Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). Eur Busin Rev. 2014;26(2):106–21.
- Hair J, Hollingsworth CL, Randolph AB, Chong AYL. An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. Ind Manag Data Syst. 2017;117(3):442–58.
- Abubakar A, Van Baar A, Fischer R, Bomu G, Gona JK, Newton CR. Sociocultural determinants of health-seeking behaviour on the kenyan coast: a qualitative study. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(11): e71998.
- Buregyeya E, Kulane A, Colebunders R, Wajja A, Kiguli J, Mayanja H, et al. Tuberculosis knowledge, attitudes and health-seeking behaviour in rural Uganda. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2011;15(7):938–42.
- Hjelm K, Atwine F. Health-care seeking behaviour among persons with diabetes in Uganda: an interview study. BMC Int Health Hum Rights. 2011;11(1):11.
- Sullivan LM, Stein MD, Savetsky JB, Samet JH. The doctor-patient relationship and HIV-infected patients' satisfaction with primary care physicians. J Gen Intern Med. 2000;15(7):462–9.
- Tsasis P, Tsoukas C, Deutsch G. Evaluation of patient satisfaction in a specialized HIV/AIDS care unit of a major hospital. AIDS Patient Care STDS. 2000;14(7):347–9.
- Walters-Salas E by T. The Challenge of Patient Adherence. Bariatric Nursing and Surgical Patient Care. 2012;7(4):186–186.
- Margolis SA. Patient satisfaction with primary health care services in the United Arab Emirates. Int J Qual Health Care. 2003;15(3):241–9.
- Langner SR, Hutelmyer C. Patient satisfaction with outpatient human immunodeficiency virus care as delivered by nurse practitioners and physicians. Holist Nurs Pract. 1995;10(1):54–60.
- Yellen E, Davis GC, Ricard R. The measurement of patient satisfaction. J Nurs Care Qual. 2002;16(4):23–9.
- Holmes-Rovner M, Kroll J, Schmitt N, Rovner DR, Breer ML, Rothert ML, et al. Patient satisfaction with health care decisions. Med Decis Making. 1996;16(1):58–64.
- Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Lake ET. Satisfaction with inpatient acquired immunodeficiency syndrome care. Med Care. 1997;35(9):948–62.
- Kandula NR, Nsiah-Kumi PA, Makoul G, Sager J, Zei CP, Glass S, et al. The relationship between health literacy and knowledge improvement after a multimedia type 2 diabetes education program. Patient Educ Couns. 2009;75(3):321–7.
- Lam CA, Sherbourne C, Tang L, Belin TR, Williams P, Young-Brinn A, et al. The impact of community engagement on health, social, and utilization outcomes in depressed, impoverished populations: secondary findings from a randomized trial. J Am Board Fam Med. 2016;29(3):325–38.

- Peterson PN. Health literacy and outcomes among patients with heart failure. JAMA. 2011;305(16):1695.
- Shah LC, West P, Bremmeyr K, Savoy-Moore RT. Health Literacy instrument in family medicine: the "newest vital sign" ease of use and correlates. J Am Board Fam Med. 2010;23(2):195–203.
- 42. Mills EJ, Nachega JB, Bangsberg DR, Singh S, Rachlis B, Wu P, et al. Adherence to HAART: a systematic review of developed and developing nation patient-reported barriers and facilitators. PLoS Med. 2006;3(11): e438.
- Morales LS, Lara M, Kington RS, Valdez RO, Escarce JJ. Socioeconomic, cultural, and behavioral factors affecting hispanic health outcomes. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2002;13(4):477–503.
- 44. Wasti SP, van Teijlingen E, Simkhada P, Randall J, Baxter S, Kirkpatrick P, et al. Factors influencing adherence to antiretroviral treatment in Asian developing countries: a systematic review. Trop Med Int Health. 2012;17(1):71–81.
- Traeger L, O'Cleirigh C, Skeer MR, Mayer KH, Safren SA. Risk factors for missed HIV primary care visits among men who have sex with men. J Behav Med. 2012;35(5):548–56.
- Olson ME, Diekema D, Elliott BA, Renier CM. Impact of income and income inequality on infant health outcomes in the United States. Pediatrics. 2010;126(6):1165–73.
- Winskell K, Hill E, Obyerodhyambo O. Comparing HIV-related symbolic stigma in six African countries: social representations in young people's narratives. Soc Sci Med. 2011;73(8):1257–65.
- UNAIDS. Reducing HIV stigma and discrimination : a critical part of national AIDS programmes : a resource for national stakeholders in the HIV response. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS; 2007 [cited 2023 Mar 30]. 52 p. https://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_ asset/jc1521_stigmatisation_en_0.pdf
- DiMatteo MR. Social support and patient adherence to medical treatment: a meta-analysis. Health Psychol. 2004;23(2):207–18.
- Coker AL, Smith PH, Thompson MP, McKeown RE, Bethea L, Davis KE. Social support protects against the negative effects of partner violence on mental health. J Womens Health Gend Based Med. 2002;11(5):465–76.
- Sangalang CC, Gee GC. Depression and anxiety among asian americans: the effects of social support and strain. Soc Work. 2012;57(1):49–60.
- Chandwani S, Koenig LJ, Sill AM, Abramowitz S, Conner LC, D'Angelo L. Predictors of antiretroviral medication adherence among a diverse cohort of adolescents with HIV. J Adolesc Health. 2012;51(3):242–51.
- Chimoyi L, Tshuma N, Muloongo K, Setswe G, Sarfo B, Nyasulu PS. HIVrelated knowledge, perceptions, attitudes, and utilisation of HIV counselling and testing: a venue-based intercept commuter population survey in the inner city of Johannesburg, South Africa. Glob Health Action. 2015;8(1):26950.
- 54. Tshuma N, Muloongo K, Setswe G, Chimoyi L, Sarfo B, Burger D, et al. Potential barriers to rapid testing for human immunodeficiency virus among a commuter population in Johannesburg, South Africa HIV/AIDS-Research and Palliative Care Dovepress Potential barriers to rapid testing for human immunodeficiency virus among a commuter population in Johannesburg, South Africa. 2015; https://doi.org/10.2147/HIV.S71920. Accessed 5 Apr 2023.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.